"CONSOLIDATED	ACTION" In re OPENWAVE SYSTEMS, INC. SHAREHO	DLDER DERIVATIker v. Peterschmidt et al)	Doc. 8
	Case 3:06-cv-03468-SI Document 8 F	led 06/21/2006 Page 1 of 5	
1	SCOTT + SCOTT, LLC		
2	ARTHUR L. SHINGLER III (181719) 600 B Street, Suite 1500		
3	San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619.233.4565		
4	Facsimile: 619.233.0508		
5	Counsel for Plaintiff		
6			
7			
8			
		ICTRICT COLIDT	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRIC		
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
12		CASE NO.: 06-cv-03468-MEJ	
13	MANFRED HACKER,)	
14)	
15	Plaintiff,))	
16	VS.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSEEORDER RE FILING AMENDED)
17	DAVID PETERSCHMIDT; DONALD) COMPLAINT	
18	LISTWIN; JOSHUA PACE; STEVEN PETERS; AL SNYDER; SIMON WILKINSON;		
19	KENNETH DENMAN; BO HEDFORS; GERALD HELD; MASOOD JABBAR;)	
20	BERNARD PUCKETT,)	
21	Defendants,		
22	-and-)	
23))	
24	OPENWAVE SYSTEMS, INC.,))	
25	Nominal Defendant.)	
26)	
27			
28			
20	Stipulation. and [Proposed] Order Re Filing Amended Complaint		
	Case No. 06-cv-03467-MEJ		
		Docke	ts.Justia.com

1	STIPULATION			
2	WHEREAS, the original Complaint in the above-captioned action was filed on May 30,			
3	2006;			
4	WHEREAS, by their respective undersigned counsel, each defendant accepts service of			
5	the Complaint;			
6 7	WHEREAS, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 15, plaintiff intends to			
8	amend the Complaint;			
9	WHEREAS, the parties have met and conferred concerning the filing of an Amended			
10	Complaint and an appropriate schedule for defendants' responses thereto;			
11	WHEREAS, the parties hereto believe this Stipulation is in the best interest of judicial			
12	economy;			
13	THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the			
14 15	parties hereto, subject to approval of the Court:			
16	A. Plaintiff shall file and serve an Amended Complaint no later than July 17, 2006;			
17	B. Defendants shall file and serve their respective responses thereto no later than			
18				
19	C. Should any defendant's response be a motion to dismiss, plaintiff's opposition			
20				
21 22	D. Any reply in support to a motion to dismiss shall thereafter be filed and served no			
23	later than September 15, 2006.			
24	Dated: June 20, 2006 By: /s/ Garrett J. Waltzer			
25	Garrett J. Waltzer (130764) SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE,			
26	MEAGHER & FLOM LLP 525 University Avenue, Suite 1100			
27	Palo Alto, CA 94301			
28	Amy S. Park (208204) Stipulation. and [Proposed] Order Re Filing Amended Complaint Case No. 06-cv-03468-MEJ			

1		SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE,
2		MEAGHER & FLOM LLP 300 South Grand Avenue, Suite 3400
3		Los Angeles, CA 90071-3144
4		Attorneys for Defendants Kenneth Denman, Bo Hedfors, Masood Jabbar
5 6		and Bernard Puckett
7		
8	Dated: June 20, 2006	By: <u>/s/ Lee H. Rubin</u> Lee H. Rubin, (141331)
9		Shirish Gupta, (205584) MAYER, BROWN, ROWE & MAW LLP
10		Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 300
11		3000 El Camino Real Palo Alto, CA 94306
12		Attorneys for Defendants Don
13		Listwin, Joshua Pace, Dave Peterschmidt, Allen Snyder, Simon
14		Wilkinson
15		
16	Dated: June 20, 2006	By:/s/ Jeff K. Li
17		Jeff K. Li (186305) OPENWAVE SYSTEMS, INC.
18		2100 Seaport Boulevard Redwood City, CA 94063
19		Attorney for Defendant Openwave Systems, Inc.
20		Systems, me.
21		
22	Dated: June 20, 2006	By:/s/ Arthur L. Shingler III
23		Arthur L. Shingler III (181719) SCOTT + SCOTT, LLC
24		600 B Street, Suite 1500
25		San Diego, CA 92101
26		Attorney for Plaintiff
27		
28		
	Stipulation. and [Proposed] Order Re Filing Amended Complaint Case No. 06-cv-03468-MEJ	-2-

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Upon stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing:

- A. Plaintiff shall file and serve an Amended Complaint no later than July 17, 2006;
- B. Defendants shall file and serve their respective responses thereto no later than August 18, 2006; and shall also file the magistrate judge consent/declination form at that time.
- C. Should any defendant's response be a motion to dismiss, plaintiff's opposition thereto shall be filed and served no later than September 8, 2006; and
- D. Any reply in support to a motion to dismiss shall thereafter be filed and served no later than September 15, 2006.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

June 21, 2006
Dated: _____



1	I, Arthur L. Shingler III, am the ECF User whose identification and password are being		
2	used to file this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Re Filing Amended Complaint. In compliance		
3	with General Order 45.X.B, I hereby attest that each of the counsel executing the Stipulation has		
4	concurred in this filing.		
5			
6	Dated: June 20, 2006 SCOTT + SCOTT, LLC		
7			
8	By: <u>/s/ Arthur L. Shingler III</u> Arthur L. Shingler III		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

Stipulation. and [Proposed] Order Re Filing Amended Complaint Case No. 06-cv-03468-MEJ